IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

LIBERTARIAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

ν.

MIKE SALIBA, RAFAEL WOLF, GREG STEMPFLE, ANGELA THORNTON-CANNY, JAMI VAN ALSTINE, MARY BUZUMA, DAVID CANNY, and JOSEPH BRUNGARDT,

Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-11074

Hon. Judith E. Levy

AMENDED JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 26(f) and Minute Order entered February 8, 2024, the Plaintiff, Libertarian National Committee, Inc. as well as Defendants Mike Saliba, Rafael Wolf, Greg Stempfle, Angela Thornton-Canny, Jami Van Alstine, Mary Buzuma, David Canny, and Joseph Brungardt, by and through their respective undersigned counsel, discussed and agreed during a telephone conference on February 23, 2024, to the following Discovery Plan:

1. Rule 26(f)(3)(A): Pre-Discovery Disclosures. The parties will exchange the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) by May 3, 2024. No changes should be made to the form or requirement.

- 2. Rule 26(f)(3(B) and Rule 26(f)(3)(E): Discovery Plan. The parties jointly propose to the Court the following discovery plan:
 - **a.** Discovery will be needed on the following subjects:
 - (1) Plaintiff's allegations regarding its claims as set forth in the Complaint.
 - (2) The alleged damages sought by Plaintiff;
 - (3) Defendant' affirmative defenses as set forth in the Answer and any other defenses that may become applicable during discovery;
 - (4) all other issues raised by the pleadings;
 - (5) any expert disclosures;
 - (6) all other matters that will reasonably lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- b. The date for completion of all discovery (general and expert) is November 1, 2024. All written fact discovery shall be served on or before July 12, 2024, except that requests to admit the authenticity of documents (if necessary) may be served no later than 15 days prior to the Final Pretrial Conference, in which event responses shall be due within 10 days of serving the Requests.

- c. Unless by subsequent agreement of the parties or leave of Court, the parties agree as follows:
 - (1) The parties agree to limit Requests for Admissions to 25 per party (excluding requests for admissions as to the authenticity of documents). Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiff may submit an additional five (5) Requests for Admission per Defendant for any Requests that are not applicable to all of the Defendants. The parties do not wish to further limit or expand discovery beyond the limits imposed pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - (2) Reports from retained experts under Rule 26(a)(2) due:
 - (a) From the party with the burden of proof, by September 6, 2024.
 - **(b)** From the party without the burden, by October 18, 2024.
 - (c) Rebuttal expert reports as shall be made by November 30, 2024. The parties do not propose to change the form or requirement for disclosures under Rule 26(a)(2).
- d. Supplementations of reports or information shall be made by all parties reasonably as they become aware that information previously disclosed is incomplete or incorrect, and in any event, not later than the end of the discovery period and again thirty (30) days before the beginning of the session of Court at

which the case is set for trial, in conjunction with the other disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(3).

- e. Undersigned counsel have discussed discovery procedures that minimize the risk of waiver of privilege or work-product protection, including procedures for asserting privilege claims after production. The parties agree to the following procedures for asserting claims of privilege after production:
 - (1) The parties agree that the inadvertent production of privileged information does not waive or otherwise compromise any applicable privilege or preclude the party from asserting privilege claims after production. The party recalling an inadvertent production shall request in writing the return or destruction of such information and shall, within 10 calendar days of its request, produce a privilege log disclosing all applicable assertions of privilege for the recalled production.
 - (2) Upon receipt of the written request, the recipient party shall comply with the producing party's request to cease review of the inadvertent production and return or destroy the inadvertent production, regardless of whether the recipient party intends to file a motion to compel production of the recalled production, in whole or in part.

- 3. Rule 26(f)(3)(C): Electronically Stored Information. The parties have discussed certain anticipated issues relating to the disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information and report to the Court the following:
 - a. Form of production/preservation: Electronically-stored information, including but not limited to electronic mail ("e-mail"), spreadsheets, accounting data, and word processing files, shall be produced in PDF format to the extent reasonable or in any other format agreed upon at the time of production which allows such files to be properly accessed. Production of such information shall otherwise be in accordance with Rule 34(b). If any responsive electronically-stored information is not reasonably accessible, i.e., is only accessible by incurring undue burdens or costs, the parties will meet and confer before bringing any such issue to the Court's attention.
- 4. Rule 26(f)(3)(D): Confidential and Proprietary Information. All documents in which privilege is claimed must be listed in a privilege log. No documents created by counsel or created after the filing date need to be logged.
 - 5. Rule 26(f)(3)(F): Other Orders that Court Should Issue: None.
- 6. Service and Filing. Service and filing of pleadings in this case shall adhere to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan Electronic Case Filing policies and procedures. The parties agree that service of notices of depositions, discovery requests, and other papers not filed with the Clerk

of Court will be accepted by e-mail and that service is complete upon and on the day of transmission.

7. **Mediation**. Settlement may be enhanced by the use of mediation. Upon agreement, the parties may apply to the Court for a settlement conference.

8. Other Items:

- a. The parties do not consent to trial before a Magistrate Judge.
- b. The Parties shall be allowed until <u>September 6, 2024</u> to join additional parties and/or to amend the pleadings. After these dates, the court will consider, *inter alia*, whether the granting of leave would delay trial.
- c. All potentially dispositive motions should be filed by the moving party by January 31, 2025.
- d. This case should be ready for trial by <u>April 4, 2025</u>. Trial is expected to take approximately three (3) days. A jury trial has been demanded.
- e. The case does not need early judicial intervention due to its complexity or other factors.
- f. All parties reserve the right to move for a protective order with regard to any subject of discovery, including but not limited to the subjects listed above.

Dated: February 23, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s Joseph J. Zito

Joseph J. Zito

DNL Zito Castellano

1250 Connecticut Ave., suite 700

Washington, D.C., 20036 Telephone: 202-594-2055

jzito@dnlzito.com loliveira@dnlzito.com Attorney for Plaintiff

Oscar A. Rodriguez
Bruce T. Wallace
Hooper Hathaway
126 S. Main Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
Telephone:734-662-4426
orod@hooperhathaway.com

Local counsel for Plaintiff

/s Lena Shapiro

Lena Shapiro

Director, First Amendment Clinic University of Illinois College of Law 504 E. Pennsylvania Ave. Champaign, IL 61820 Telephone: (217) 333-4333

Shapiro7@illinois.edu

 $\underline{Law\text{-}FirstAmend@illinois.edu}$

C. Nicholas Curcio

Curcio Law Firm PLC 16905 Birchview Drive Nunica, MI 49448

Telephone: 616-430-2201 neurcio@curciofirm.com
Attorneys for Defendants